

L3

Hossein Khani

Content:

- \triangleright Why Data Analyses
- \triangleright Data Manipulation (Pandas Library)
- \triangleright Data Visualisation (Matplotlib, Pyplot, Seaborn)
- \triangleright Linear Regression
- \triangleright Principle Component Analysis
- \triangleright Non-Negative Matrix Factorization
- \triangleright Orthogonal Matching pursuit

NEEDS:

- Ø Basic Python Skills (Lists, Dictionaries, Functions, methods,….)
- \triangleright Working with DataFrames (Data Cleaning and manipulation with Pandas Library)
- \triangleright Working with Matrices (Numpy Library)
- \triangleright Mathematics behind Machine learning Techniques (Mostly probability and statistics)
- \triangleright Machine learning library (Scipy or Sklearn)

QUESTION:

Input:

REGRESSION

QUESTION:

Input:

Output: ??

0.000000 0.003906 0.023438 0.005859 0.021484 0.019531 0.023438 0.0 ... 0.000000 0.000977 0.000000 0.000000

Install Python

Ø **https://www.python.org/**

- Ø **pip Python package management system :** python3 -m pip --version
- Ø **install jupyter notebook:** python3 -m pip install -U jupyter
-

Ø **Install pandas**: pip install pandas

- \circ The Jupyter Notebook is the original web application for creating and sharing the sharing computation.
- o Pandas the main tool of data analyse
- \circ Pandas permits us to import data from various sources for example

DataFrames:

Ø **https://insights.stackoverflow.com/survey**

How to use Pandas to work with DataFrame……

- 1. How to read data from csv file,
- 2. Take a look at the datafram,
- 3. Where dataframe comes from, its equivalent in python
- 4. Series objects and accessing multi-columns
- 5. Indexing
- 6. Accessing rows in DataFrames
- 7. Setting index for data frame
- 8. Changing columns' names
- 9. Changing single row's values

Numpy:

As a Data Analyst how to collect data?

Ø List?

- \triangleright Collection of values
- \triangleright Hold different types
- \blacktriangleright Change, add, remove

Ø What we need more?

- \triangleright Mathematical operations over collections
- Ø Speed

Numpy:

Body mass Index:

```
Height = [1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79]Weight = [65.4, 59.2, 63.6, 88.4, 68.7]
```

```
Weight / Height ** 2
```

```
Traceback (most recent call last)
TypeError
<ipython-input-43-0f6f8ba4f85f> in <module>
---> 1 Weight / Height ** 2
TypeError: unsupported operand type(s) for ** or pow(): 'list' and 'int'
```
To Solve: Looping over elements? **Not fast and efficient**

Numpy (numeric python):

Solution?

nympy arrays:

- \triangleright Alternative to python lists
- \triangleright Calculations over entire arrays
- \triangleright Easy and Fast

To Install: **pip3 install numpy**

Numpy

import numpy as np

```
np height = np.array(Height)np height
```
array([1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79])

 $np_weight = np.array(Weight)$ np_weight

array([65.4, 59.2, 63.6, 88.4, 68.7])

bmi = np weight / np height ** 2 bmi

array([21.85171573, 20.97505669, 21.75028214, 24.7473475, 21.44127836])

Python is able to treat numpy arrays as single elements.

Where the speed comes from?

Numpy arrays collect values of the same type:

- Either integer
- Either float
- **String**
- …..

Numpy (Remarks)

np.array([1.0, "Hossein", True])

array(['1.0', 'Hossein', 'True'], dtype='<U32')

 \circ Nympy array, is a data type in python.

o It has its own methods.

o These methods might act differently on arrays compared to other types.

Numpy (Remarks)

Example:

```
python_list = [1,2,3]numpy_array = np.array([1,2,3])
```
python_list + python_list

```
[1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3]
```
numpy_array+numpy_array

```
array([2, 4, 6])
```
Numpy (Subsetting)

Example:

Numpy (2D)

type(np height)

numpy.ndarray

```
np_2d = np.array([[1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79],[65.4, 59.2, 63.6, 88.4, 68.7]]
```
np_2d

```
array([[ 1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79],
      [65.4, 59.2, 63.6, 88.4, 68.7]])
```
np_2d.shape

 $(2, 5)$

Numpy (2D)

array([[1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79], $[65.4, 59.2, 63.6, 88.4, 68.7]$

np 2d[0]

array([1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79])

Numpy (Basic Statistics)

```
np_2d = np.array([Height,Weight])
np 2d
array([[ 1.73, 1.68, 1.71, 1.89, 1.79],
```

```
[65.4, 59.2, 63.6, 88.4, 68.7 ]]
```
 $np.macan(np_2d[0,:])$

1.760000000000002

 $np.median($np_2d[0,:])$$

1.73

 $np.sum(np_2d[0,:])$

8.8

Numpy (Data Generation)

height = $np.random(np.random.normal(1.75, 2.0, 5000), 2)$ weight = $np.random(np.random.normal(10.32, 15.0, 5000), 2)$

np_city = np.column_stack((height,weight))

np_city.shape

 $(5000, 2)$

Numpy (Data Generation)

```
np. zeros([4,5], dtype = int)array([[0, 0, 0, 0, 0],[0, 0, 0, 0, 0],[0, 0, 0, 0, 0],[0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
```
 $np.ones([4,5], dtype = int)$

```
array([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1],[1, 1, 1, 1, 1],[1, 1, 1, 1, 1],[1, 1, 1, 1, 1]
```
 $np.full((2,3), 6, dtype = int)$

```
array([[6, 6, 6],[6, 6, 6]]
```
Numpy (Dtype)

 \triangleright Python types: int, float, bool,...

Their size depends on the platform they are applied to…

 \triangleright Dtypes: numpy numerical types are instances of dtype objects. The numpy types have fixed-sizes.

np.int32, np.int64, np.bool8, np.float32, np.float64

```
z = np{\text{.}zeros}([2,3], \text{ dtype} = np{\text{.}bool8})
```

```
z
```

```
array([[False, False, False],
       [False, False, False]])
```
 $type(z)$

numpy.ndarray

z.dtype

dtype('bool')

Data Visualisation:

The most important visualization library : Matplotlib:

plt.plot() :

plt.scatter() :

 $years = [1950, 1970, 1990, 2010]$ $pop = [2.519, 3.692, 5.263, 6.972]$

plt.scatter(years, pop) plt.show()

Scatter plot is used when we need to measure the correlation between two attributes.

plt.scatter() :

```
np.corrcoef(years, pop)
```

```
array([[1. , 0.99664316],
      [0.99664316, 1.11)
```

```
import scipy.stats as st
st.pearsonr(years, pop)
(0.996643163032238, 0.0033568369677620113)Correlation P-value
```
P-Value:

Probabilities ?

P-Value:

P-Value (flipping a coin 5 times):

plt.scatter() :

 $years = [1950, 1970, 1990, 2010]$ $pop = [2.519, 3.692, 5.263, 6.972]$

plt.scatter(years, pop) plt.show()

Scatter plot is used when we need to measure the correlation between two attributes.

plt.hist():

values = $[0, 0.6, 1.4, 1.6, 2.2, 2.5, 2.6, 3.2, 3.5, 3.9, 4.2, 6]$

```
plt.hist(values, bins = 3)
```

```
(\text{array}([4., 6., 2.]),array([0., 2., 4., 6.]),<BarContainer object of 3 artists>)
```


plt.hist() :

 \triangleright Which is the most frequent data? statistics.mode()

 \triangleright The data is centered around which point? Nupmy.mean()

 \triangleright What is the value observed in 50% of the time? Numpy.median()

 \triangleright How vary the values are ? np.std()

Distribution of Data:

Most of the time it takes 80 mins

Half of the times it takes 80 mins

On average it takes 80 mins

How long does it take to go from City A to city B
Distribution of Data:

Distribution of Data:

Left-Skewed (Negative Skewness)

Right-Skewed (Positive Skewness)

ØAdd labels to the axis: plt.xlabel() , plt.ylabel()

ØAdd Title to the plot : plt.title()

 \triangleright Changing values one the axis: plt.xticks(), plt.yticks()

 \blacktriangleright Labeling values on the axis

```
years = [1950, 1970, 1990, 2010]pop = [2.519, 3.692, 5.263, 6.972]np\_years = np.array(years)np pop = np.array(pop)plt.plot(np_years, np_pop)
plt.xlabel('year')
plt.ylabel('populattion')
plt.title('World population in years')
```
Text(0.5, 1.0, 'World population in years')


```
years = [1950, 1970, 1990, 2010]pop = [2.519, 3.692, 5.263, 6.972]np years = np.array(years)np pop = np.array(pop)plt.plot(np years, np pop)
plt.xlabel('year')
plt.ylabel('populattion')
plt.yticks([0,2,4,6,8])
plt.title('World population in years')
```
Text(0.5, 1.0, 'World population in years')


```
years = [1950, 1970, 1990, 2010]pop = [2.519, 3.692, 5.263, 6.972]np years = np.array(years)np pop = np.array(pop)plt.plot(np years, np pop)
plt.xlabel('year')
plt.ylabel('populattion')
plt.yticks([0,2,4,6,8])
plt.title('World population in years')
```
Text(0.5, 1.0, 'World population in years')


```
years = [1950, 1970, 1990, 2010]pop = [2.519, 3.692, 5.263, 6.972]np \text{ years} = np.array(years)np pop = np.array(pop)plt.plot(np years, np pop)
plt.xlabel('year')
plt.ylabel('populattion')
plt.yticks([0,2,4,6,8],['B','2B','4B','6B','8B'])
plt.title('World population in years')
```
Text(0.5, 1.0, 'World population in years')

Machine Learning:

How you write a code with traditional programming technique to detect spams?

 \triangleright What a spam looks like, what are the patterns, \triangleright Write a detection algorithm for each pattern,....

Problem??

There is an infinite number of patterns!

ML (Supervised):

In ML, the model will learn (based on some examples) which patterns are representative of a spam.

Classification

ML (Supervised): Value

Regression

Given a GDP per capita in a country, can you guess what is the life satisfaction index?

```
import numpy as np
plt.scatter(data['GDP per capita'], data['Life satisfaction'])
x = np.array([1000, 100000])plt.xlabel('GDP per capita')
plt.ylabel('Life satisfaction')
plt.show()
```


ML (Example): plt.scatter(data['GDP per capita'], data['Life satisfaction']) $x = np.array([1000, 100000])$ θ_0 $0 = 73$ $1 = 8.9e-05$ θ_1 plt.plot(x, $t_0 + t_1*x$, $c = 'red')$ plt.xlabel('GDP per capita') plt.ylabel('Life satisfaction') plt.show()

life satisfaction $= \theta_0 + \theta_1 * GDP$ per Capita

life satisfaction $= \theta_0 + \theta_1 * GDP$ per Capita

ML (Linear Assumption):

 $\hat{y}^{(i)} = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \times x^{(i)}$

Main assumption: The data follows a linear model:

life satisfaction = $\theta_0 + \theta_1 * GDP$ per Capita

ØHow you know which values make your model perform best?

- **Fitness Function**
- o Cost Function (typically used for linear regression problems.)

you feed it your training examples and it finds the parameters that make the linear model fit best to your data. This is called *training* the model.

Cost Function:

Cost Function:

Cost Function: Root Mean Square Error

Sklearn (Python library for sklearn)

from sklearn. linear model import LinearRegression

 $model = LinearRegression()$

data[['Life satisfaction']]

ML (Example):

ML (Example): Predictor (A combination of attributes) Life satisfaction \leftarrow GDP per capita Target variable 50961.865 82.1 43724.031 81.0 Training (Minimizing RMSE)40106.632 80.5 Predictions 73.7 8669.998 Samples 81.5 43331.961 $[[[81.10935751],$ 13340.905 78.9 $[80.47096811],$ $[80.15190729]$, 17256.918 78.2 $[77.37914212]$, 52114.165 80.1 $[80.43638686]$, $[77.79112415]$, 17288.083 76.5 $[78.13652323],$ $[81.21099235],$ 41973.988 80.7 $[78.13927203]$, $[80.3166113]$,

Assumption of Linearity

ML :

 \blacktriangleright

Note: In general their might be more than one attribute:

- A In this case, the first attribute of simple (i) is represented by variable x_1^0 (i) ,
- \triangleright The second attribute would be x_2^0 (i)
- \triangleright The attribute p would be x_p^{\vee} (i)

The linear assumption:

$$
\hat{y}^{(i)} = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \times x_1^{(i)} + \theta_2 \times x_2^{(i)} + \dots + \theta_p \times x_p^{(i)}
$$

Hyperplane

How the training part works? (The minimization of RMSE)

Min RMSE =
$$
\sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2}
$$

Is equal to Min $(\theta^T X - y)^2$

$$
\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \dots & \theta_p \end{bmatrix} \qquad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \dots & x_p^1 \\ 1 & x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_p^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_1^n & x_2^n & \dots & x_p^n \end{bmatrix} \qquad y = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(3)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}
$$

How the training part works? (The minimization of RMSE)

Min
$$
(\theta^T X - y)^2
$$

\n
$$
\arg min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} (\theta X - Y)^T (\theta X - Y)
$$
\n
$$
\nabla_{\theta} (\theta X - Y)^T (\theta X - Y) = 0
$$
\nNormal Equation
\n
$$
-2 X^T (y - \theta X) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y
$$

It has a solution only when $(X^T X)^{-1}$ is inversible (when it's determinant is non-zero).

Let's test the normal equation by generating random data that follow linear pattern:

```
import numpy as np
X = 2 * np.random.randn(100, 1)y = 4 + 3 * X + np.random.randn(100, 1)
```
Predictor

Target Variable

Create matrix X

 $X b = np.c$ [np.ones((100, 1)), X] # add $x0 = 1$ to each instance theta_best = $np.linalg.inv(X_b.T.dot(X_b))}.dot(X_b.T).dot(X_t$

Predictor

array([[4.06669028],

 $[2.9236695]$]])

```
X_new = np.array([0], [2]])X_new_b = np.c_{np.} \text{ones}(2, 1), X_new]
y predict = X new b.dot(theta best)y predict
```

```
array([[4.06669028],
      [9.91402929]
```


Calculate normal equation for the dataset of GDP per capita / Life satisfaction.

ML (Gradient Descent):

 \triangleright Problems with normal equations:

- 1. In many real cases $(X^TX)^{-1}$ is not invertible,
- 2. Even if it is for bid data sets the computational cost is $O(n^3)$ or $O(n^{2.4})$.

 \triangleright So, instead of calculating θ from the normal equation, the learning algorithms use a technique to estimate this value which is called Gradient Descent.

1. Start with some initial parameters θ ,

2. Tweaking the parameters (θ) iteratively, in a way that it reduces the cost function.

- 1. Start from a position (x, y) ,
- 2. Find the negative slope (to descend)
- 3. Take appropriate size step.

ML (Gradient Descent-Example):

 \triangleright Suppose we start with initial θ_0 and θ_1 .

 \triangleright In which direction we should go to reduce the cost function?

$$
MSE = \underbrace{(h_{\theta}(x^{(1)}) - y^{(1)})^2 + (h_{\theta}(x^{(2)}) - y^{(2)})^2 + (h_{\theta}(x^{(3)}) - y^{(3)})^2}_{d(\theta_0)} = \frac{d(MSE)}{d(\theta_0)} = \frac{d(MSE)}{d(h_{\theta})} \times \frac{d(h_{\theta})}{d(\theta_0)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d(MSE)}{d(\theta_0)} = 2(h_{\theta}(x^{(1)}) - y^{(1)}) \times 1 + 2(h_{\theta}(x^{(2)}) - y^{(2)}) \times 1 + 2(h_{\theta}(x^{(3)}) - y^{(3)}) \times 1
$$

 $d(MSE)$ $d(\theta_1)$ = $d(MSE)$ $\frac{1}{d(h_{\theta})} \times$ $d(h_\theta)$ $d(\theta_1)$

$$
\frac{d(MSE)}{d(\theta_1)} = 2\left(h_{\theta}\left(x^{(1)}\right) - y^{(1)}\right) \times x^{(1)} + 2\left(h_{\theta}\left(x^{(2)}\right) - y^{(2)}\right) \times x^{(2)} + 2\left(h_{\theta}\left(x^{(3)}\right) - y^{(3)}\right) \times x^{(3)}
$$

ML (Gradient Descent-Example):


```
new \theta_0 = previous \theta_0 - step size \theta_0new \theta_1 = previous \theta_1 - step size \theta_1
```
ML (Gradient Descent-summary):

- 1. Random initialization of parameters $(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2,...)$,
- 2. Calculate the slopes using gradient descent and chain rule,
- 3. Compute the steps using a pre-defined learning rate,
- 4. Update the parameters,

Note: In Machine learning, all the parameters that should be predefined in order to use the model are called hyper parameters. Hyper parameters are different from parameters. The parameters will be learned during training,…

ML (Error Calculation):

Calculate the Root Mean Square Error for the predictions you made using linear regression for the dataframe GDP per capita/ life satisfaction(Use the fonctionalities of numpy).

ML (Error Calculation):

Sub-module metrics and the function mean squared error of Sklearn.

from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error mse = mean_squared_error(np.array(data['Life satisfaction']), predictions) $rmse = np.sqrt(mse)$

ML (Question):

 \triangleright Is this error reliable for future predictions?

 \triangleright Does it mean that our model will perform the best to predict?

ML (Challenges of training):

Overfitting

ML (Challenges of training):

Overfitting

ML (Concept of cross validation):

ML (Concept of cross validation):

```
from sklearn. model selection import cross val score
scores = cross_val_score(model, data[['GDP per capita']], data[['Life satisfaction']],
                             scoring="neg mean squared error", cv=10)
rmse scores = np.sqrt(-scores)
```

```
rmse scores
array([2.06550957, 0.98307636, 1.45811595, 2.0309329, 3.211669,
      3.09781241, 1.78440725, 4.78091017, 2.29082548, 2.46068562])
```
Cost

Learning rate often is a value between 0 and 1, to find the best learning rate, we need to test the validation error of the candidate models with different learning rate.

Cost

Ø**Batch Gradient descent**

Different

versions of

Gradient

Descent

$$
\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{MSE}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \text{MSE}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \text{MSE}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} \text{MSE}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{m} \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})
$$

 $\theta^{(next\ step)} = \theta - \eta \nabla_{\theta} \text{MSE}(\theta)$

Ø**Stochastic Gradient descent**

Different versions of Gradient Descent

• Update the parameters based on the randomly selected sample,

Ø**Stochastic Gradient descent**

• Choose a sample randomly,

Different versions of Gradient Descent

• Update the parameters based on the randomly selected sample,

Solution: Use mini-batch Gradient Descent

ML (Training Error- Validation Error):

ML (Regularization):

Problems with mean squared error:

- \triangleright If the attributes are correlated, there is no unique solution(),
- \triangleright If the number of attributes are more than the number of

observation there is a risk of over-fitting.

To reduce the risk of over-fitting there are techniques to control the complexity of the model.

Control the increase

in parameters θ

ML (Regularization-Lasso):

 \triangleright Minimise Mean square error, but in addition take care of parameters not to be too big,

ML (Regularization-Lasso):

 \triangleright Minimise Mean square error, but in addition take care of parameters not to be too big,

ML (Regularization-Implementation):

import pandas as pd $data = pd.read_csv('housing.csv')$ data.head(2)

 $data.info()$

 $data.info()$

from matplotlib import pyplot as plt from pandas.plotting import scatter matrix attributes = ["median house value", "median income", "total rooms", "housing median age"] scatter matrix(data[attributes], figsize = $(12, 8)$) plt.show()

plt.scatter(data['median_house_value'], data['median_income'], alpha = 0.4) plt.show()

 $corr$ matrix = data.corr() corr matrix

	longitude	latitude	housing median age					total_rooms total_bedrooms population households median_income	median house value
longitude	1.000000	-0.924664	-0.108197	0.044568	0.069608	0.099773	0.055310	-0.015176	-0.045967
latitude	-0.924664	1.000000	0.011173	-0.036100	-0.066983	-0.108785	-0.071035	-0.079809	-0.144160
housing median age	-0.108197	0.011173	1.000000	-0.361262	-0.320451	-0.296244	-0.302916	-0.119034	0.105623
total rooms	0.044568	-0.036100	-0.361262	1.000000	0.930380	0.857126	0.918484	0.198050	0.134153
total_bedrooms	0.069608	-0.066983	-0.320451	0.930380	1.000000	0.877747	0.979728	-0.007723	0.049686
population	0.099773	-0.108785	-0.296244	0.857126	0.877747	1.000000	0.907222	0.004834	-0.024650
households	0.055310	-0.071035	-0.302916	0.918484	0.979728	0.907222	1.000000	0.013033	0.065843
median income	-0.015176	-0.079809	-0.119034	0.198050	-0.007723	0.004834	0.013033	1.000000	0.688075
median house value	-0.045967	-0.144160	0.105623	0.134153	0.049686	-0.024650	0.065843	0.688075	1.000000

corr_matrix['median_house_value'].sort_values(ascending = False)

data["rooms_per_household"] = data["total_rooms"]/data["households"] data["bedrooms_per_room"] = data["total_bedrooms"]/data["total_rooms"] data["population per household"]=data["population"]/data["households"]

```
corr matrix2 = data.corr()
corr_matrix2['median_house_value'].sort_values(ascending = False)
```


Option 1:

 $df = data.copy()$

Fill out missing values

median nbbedrooms = $df['total bedrooms']$.median()

median nbbedroom per room = df['bedrooms per room']

 $df['total_bedrooms']$.fillna(median_nbbedrooms, inplace = True)

 $df['bedrooms_per_room']$.fillna(median_nbbedroom_per_room, inplace = True)

Option 2:

values

Fill out

missing

```
imputer.statistics
```

```
array([-1.18490000e+02,
                        3.42600000e+01,2.90000000e+01,
                                                         2.12700000e+03,
                        1.16600000e+03,4.35000000e+02,
                                         4.09000000e+02,3.53480000e+00,
       1.79700000e+05,
                        5.22912879e+00,
                                        2.03162434e-01,2.81811565e+00]
```
 $X =$ imputer.transform(data)

Numpy array

 $data = pd.DataFrame(X, columns = data.columns)$

data.hist(bins = 50 , figsize = $(12,8)$) plt.show()

Distribution of data


```
attributes = ["median_income", "total_rooms",
                  "housing_median_age", 'population', 'total_bedrooms', 'total_rooms' ]
data[attributes].boxplot()
plt.xticks(rotation = 90)plt.show()
```
Scale of Data

Why scaling the data?

- 1. Faster to train the data,
- 2. More stable model (not too much sensitive to new samples).

```
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
scalardscalescaled = scalar.fit transform(data)scaled data = pd.DataFrame(scaled, columns = data.columes)
```

```
scaled data.hist(bins = 50, figsize = (12,8))
plt.show()
```
longitude latitude housing_median_age **Standardization**total⁰rooms total bedrooms² population \circ θ $\ddot{\mathbf{0}}$ **Households** median_income Ω median_house²⁰alue $0⁻¹$ O ⁰rooms_per_household -2 bedrooms²per_room population_per_Household 20000 - Ω

Does it helpful?

import numpy as np $data \text{ log} = np.log(data)$

data_log.hist(bins = 50 , figsize = $(12,8)$) $plt.show()$

 $\mathbf{0}$

0

500

 \circ

500

 $\mathbf 0$

 $^{\circ}$

3.50

0

0

Log **Transformation**


```
attributes = ["median_income", "total_rooms",
                  "housing_median_age", 'population', 'total_bedrooms', 'total_rooms' ]
data_log[attributes].boxplot()
plt.xticks(rotation = 90)plt.show()
```
Log **Transformation**

Pre-processing

All the steps including data acuisition and data preparation like handling null values, data transformation, standardization, encoding, … are called pre-processing,

```
from sklearn. linear model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
features = scaled data.drop('median house value', axis = 1)
target = scaled data[['median house value']]lin model = LinearRegression()
```
Training loss:

```
from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error
lin model.fit(features, target)
predictions = lin_model.predict(train)mse = mean squared error(target, predictions)rmse = np.sqrt(mse)rmse
```
0.5945904829245694

```
scores = cross_val_score(lin_model,features, target,
                         scoring = "neg mean squared error", cv = 10)
```
Validation loss:

```
rmse = np.sqrt(-scores)rmse
```
array([0.56986624, 0.53786391, 0.74194455, 0.49912052, 0.69925988, 0.6093961 , 0.46481939 , 0.73728758 , 0.67160372 , 0.48182607])

 $rmse.mean()$

0.6012987948287257

scores = cross_val_score(lin_model,features, target, scoring = "neg mean squared error", $cv = 10$)

Validation loss:

```
rmse = np.sqrt(-scores)rmse
```
array([0.56986624, 0.53786391, 0.74194455, 0.49912052, 0.69925988, 0.6093961 , 0.46481939 , 0.73728758 , 0.67160372 , 0.48182607])

 $rmse.mean()$

0.6012987948287257

What is the meaning of low amount training loss and relatively high value of validation loss?

Example: learning life expectancy based on gdp per capita by linear regression.

life expectancy gdp per capita population color **Underfitting** $\mathbf 0$ 974.580338 43.828 31.889923 red **Example** Nb samples = 1423.600523 5937.029526 76.423 1 green $\overline{2}$ 6223.367465 33.333216 72.301 blue 3 4797.231267 42.731 12.420476 blue 75.320 40.301927 12779.379640 4 yellow

Underfitting

Example

 $features = data[['gdp per capita']]$ $target = data[['life$ expectancy']] $model = LinearRegression()$ model.fit(features, target) $predicts = model.predict(features)$ mse = mean squared error(target, predicts) $rmse = np.sqrt(mse)$ rmse 8.835757281743057 scores = cross val score(model, features, target, scoring = "neg mean squared error", $cv = 10$) $rmse = np.sqrt(-scores)$ rmse.mean() 8.79033632355108 High error values of training and loss!

Example: learning life expectancy based on gdp per capita by linear regression.

Example: learning life expectancy based on gdp per capita by linear regression.

```
plt.scatter(data[['gdp per capita']], data[['life expectancy']], alpha = 0.5)
tetha 0 = model.interept [0]tetha 1 = model.coef [0]y = \text{tetha}_0 + \text{tetha}_1 * np.array(data['gdp per capita'])plt.plot(data['gdp per capita'], y, c = 'r')plt.show()
```


The model is too simple to be trained for the dataset.

Example: learning life expectancy based on gdp per capita by linear regression.

```
plt.scatter(data[['gdp per capita']], data[['life expectancy']], alpha = 0.5)
tetha 0 = model.interept [0]tetha 1 = model.coef [0]y = \text{tetha}_0 + \text{tetha}_1 * np.array(data['gdp per capita'])plt.plot(data['gdp per capita'], y, c = 'r')plt.show()
```


The model is too simple to be trained for the dataset.

Solutions to underfitting:

- 1. Choose a more complex learning model,
- 2. Use more features,

- Ø**We train a model to do predictions,**
- Ø**A model performs well if it do the write predictions on unseen data,**
- Ø**Therefore, Prevent Data Leakage during training (How?)**
- Ø**Split data to train (80%) and test (20%).**

- 1. Given a data set, split the data to representative train set and test set,
- 2. Do data cleaning and pre-processing on features (on both train and test)
- 3. Train different models on the train set and find the best one by evaluating their training and validation errors,
- 4. Do prediction using the best model on the test set,
- 5. Evaluate the performance of final model on test data (if possible)

Housing dataset:

 \triangleright Based on correlation values we know that median income is strongly related to the median house value,

 $data['median_income']$.hist(bins = 50)

Test Set Being Representative:

 \triangleright Based on correlation values we know that median income is strongly related to the median house value,

```
data['income_cat'] = pd.cut('data['median_income'],bins = [0,1.5,3.0,4.5,6, np.inf], labels = [1,2,3,4,5])
```
Test Set Being Representative:

data['income cat'].hist()

<AxesSubplot:>

Test Set Being

```
from sklearn.model selection import StratifiedShuffleSplit
                       split = StratifiedShuffleSplit(n splits = 1, test size = 0.2, random state = 42)
                       for (train_index, test_index) in split.split(data, data['income_cat']):
                           strat train set = data.loc[train index]
                           strat test set = data.log(test \text{ index})strat train set['income cat'].value counts() / len(strat train set)
Representative:0.350594
                       3
                           0.318859
                       2
                       4
                            0.176296
                       5
                            0.114402
                            0.039850
                       Name: income cat, dtype: float64
                       strat test set['income cat'].value counts()/len(strat test set)
                            0.350533
                            0.318798
                            0.176357
                            0.114583
                            0.039729
                       Name: income cat, dtype: float64
```
Some notes

- 1. If you have have filled the null values in training set with statistic measures(median, mean, mode), fill the null values in the test set with the corresponding values in the training set,
- 2. Use the same Transformation technique on both train and test,

```
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn. impute import SimpleImputer
num pipeline = Pipeline([('imputer', SimpleImputer(strategy = 'median'))
                         ,('std scalar', StandardScaler())])
```

```
train np = num pipeline.fit transform(strat train set)
train set = pd.DataFrame(train np, columns = strat train set.columns)
```

```
test_np = num_pipeline.fit_transform(strat_test_set)
test set = pd.DataFrame(test np, columns = strat test set.columes)
```
ML (Binary Classification problem) :

 \triangleright The target values are dochotomous (They only have two values 0 and 1 or -1 and 1)

 \triangleright Multi-classification: The target values are finite discrete (0,1,2,...,9)

 \triangleright Difference weth regression problems: we need some extra part to convert the output of regression to a specified discrete range.

ML (Classification-MNIST) :

from sklearn.datasets import fetch openml $mnist = fetch openml('mnist 784', version=1)$

mnist.keys()

dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'categories', 'feature_names', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'details', 'url'])

 $X, y = \text{mnist}['data'], \text{mnist['target']}$

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = X[:60000], X[60000:], y[:60000], y[60000:]

ML (Classification-MNIST) :

import matplotlib as mpl import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

```
some_digit = X[0]some_digit_image = some\_digit. reshape(28, 28)
```

```
plt.imshow(some_digit_image, cmap = mpl.cm.binary, interpolation="nearest")
plt.axis("off")
plt.show()
```



```
y_train_5 = (y_train == 5) # True for all 5s, False for all other digits.
y test 5 = (y test == 5)
```


Mean square error is not always the best

$$
\mathsf{MSE}: \quad (\theta^T X - y)^2 \bigcup_{\{1, 0\}}
$$

A total mis-classification might minimize mean-squared-error.

from sklearn. linear model import LogisticRegression log reg = LogisticRegression() log reg.fit(X train, y train 5)

/Users/hosseinkhani/miniconda3/envs/dataenv/lib/python3.9/site-packages/sklearn/linear model/ logistic.py:763: Conver genceWarning: lbfgs failed to converge (status=1): STOP: TOTAL NO. of ITERATIONS REACHED LIMIT.

Increase the number of iterations (max iter) or scale the data as shown in: https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html Please also refer to the documentation for alternative solver options: https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/linear model.html#logistic-regression n iter i = check optimize result(

Stochastic Gradient Descent Classifier:

- 1. Minimize the Hinge loss ($max(0, 1 t, y)$)
- 2. Do the minimization using stochastic gradient descent algorithm


```
from sklearn.linear model import SGDClassifier
sgd c1f = SGDClassifier(range + ate=42)sgd clf.fit(X train, y train 5)
```

```
SGDClassifier(random state=42)
```


```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
cross_val_score(sgd_clf, X_train, y_train_5, cv=3, scoring="accuracy")
```

```
array([0.95035, 0.96035, 0.9604 ])
```
Is this performance reliable?

```
from sklearn.base import BaseEstimator
class Never5Classifier(BaseEstimator):
    def fit(self, X, y=None):
        pass
    def predict(self, X):
        return np{\text{-}zeros} (len(X), 1), dtype=bool)
```
 $never 5 clf = Never5Classifier()$

```
from sklearn.model selection import cross val score
cross_val_score(never_5_clf, X_train, y_train_5, cv=3, scoring="accuracy")
```

```
array([0.91125, 0.90855, 0.90915])
```
Imbalanced Classification problem

ML (Binary-Classification-Metrics) :

```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_predict
y train pred = cross val predict(sgd clf, X train, y train 5, cv=3)
```

```
y_train_pred
```

```
array([ True, False, False, ..., True, False, False])
```


ML (Binary-Classification-Metrics) :

 $y_train{_perfect{_}prediction} = y_train{_}f \# pretend we reached perfection$ confusion_matrix(y_train_5, y_train_perfect_predictions)

array([[54579, 0], $[0, 5421]$

precision =
$$
\frac{TP}{TP + FP}
$$
 (true positive rate)

recall =
$$
\frac{TP}{TP + FN}
$$
 (false negative rate)

```
from sklearn.metrics import precision_score, recall_score
precision = precision_score(y_train_5, y_train_pred)
recall = recall_score(y_train_5, y_train_pred)print("The precision score is {} and the recall score is {}".format(precision, recall))
```
The precision score is 0.8370879772350012 and the recall score is 0.6511713705958311

from sklearn.metrics import fl score fl_score(y_train_5, y_train_pred)

0.7325171197343846

ML (Binary-Classification-Metrics) :

ML (Binary-Classification-Metrics) :

from sklearn.metrics import precision recall curve precisions, recalls, thresholds = precision_recall_curve(y _train_5, y _scores)

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
plt.plot(thresholds, precisions[:-1], c='r', label = 'precision')
plt.plot(thresholds, recalls[-1], c = 'b', label = 'recall')plt.legend()
plt.show()
```


ML (Binary-Classification - ROC) :

from sklearn.metrics import roc curve fpr, tpr, thresholds = $roc_curve(y_train_5, y_scores)$

fpr : false positive rate **tpr** : true positive rate

```
plt.plot(fpr, tpr)
plt.xlabel('false positive rate')
plt.ylabel('true positive rate')
plt.plot([0,1],[0,1], 'k--')
```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x1237dc4f0>]

ML (Binary-Classification - AUC) :

Area Under the Curve more close to 1, better

from sklearn.metrics import roc auc score roc_auc_score(y_train_5, y_scores)

0.9604938554008616

ML (Multi - Classification) :

Two Approach:

- *1. one versus all* : train 10 classifiers,
- class 0-detector (distinguish zeros from non-zeros)
- class 1-detector (distinguish one from non-ones)

• …

- Ø *prediction*: the predicted class of an image is the class with higher score.
- 2. *one versus one*: for any two class train a classifier
- 0's versus 1's
- 0's versus 2's
- …
- If there are n classes in general, we need $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$) classifiers (they should be trained on smaller portions of data).
- Ø *prediction*: the class assosiated to an image is one that win more duels!

ML (Multi - Classification) :

 \triangleright Sklearn detects when a binary classifier is used for a multi-classification problem.

```
sgd_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
SGDClassifier(random_state=42)
```
ØIn this case it automatically uses *one versus all* technique

ML (Multi - Classification) :

Some classification problems perform purely on large datasets, in such cases it might be more convenient to use *one versus one* technique.

```
from sklearn.multiclass import OneVsOneClassifier
ovo clf = OneVsOneClassifier(SGDClassifier(random state=42))
ovo_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
ovo clf.predict([some digit])
```
 $array([5], dtype=uint8)$

ML (Multi – Classification - Metrics) :

ML (Multi – Classification - Metrics) :

ML (Multi – Classification - Metrics) :

row sums = $conf$ mx.sum(axis=1, keepdims=True) $norm_conf_mx = conf_mx / row_sums$ np.fill_diagonal(norm_conf_mx, 0)

plt.matshow(norm_conf_mx, cmap = plt.cm.gray) plt.show()

